

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/581,478	SAKAKI, YOSHIYUKI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jehanne Souaya Sitton	1634	

All Participants:

(1) Jehanne Souaya Sitton.

(2) Lawrence Perry.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 March 2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

2, 6

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)


 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner proposed changes to amended claims (amended in the response filed 11/25/2003) 2, 3, 6, and 7 to clearly set forth the invention and overcome issues under 35 USC 112/2nd. Applicants attorney agreed to the proposed amendments in an examiner's amendment. The claims agreed to are set forth in the examiner's amendment..